April 5, 2017

Dr. Kevin Wehr
Mr. Jason Conwell
California Faculty Association

Re: Complete Response: Request for Information Dated March 8, 2017

Dear Dr. Wehr and Mr. Conwell,

This is to provide a complete response to the union’s request for information (RFI), dated March 8, 2017. Specifically, the RFI requests:

Pursuant to 10.37 of the CBA, please provide the following information before we have our Level I meeting:

1. **Copies of all test results for lead in the water on campus dating back 3 years.** The University objects on the ground that this request is vague and overbroad. Without waiving these objections, the University provides the following response: There is no regulatory requirement for the University to collect samples at drinking water sources to analyze for the presence of lead; however, the University does review the Consumer Quality Report provided annually by our provider, City of Sacramento. Please find the reports for 2013, 2014, and 2015, attached.

As you know, Dr. Foran tested many locations in January. Column I in the attached spreadsheet shows the results provided to us by Dr. Foran. The campus is conducting a comprehensive review, including hiring an outside Certified Industrial Hygienist, to sample all drinking water sources, including those tested by Dr. Foran previously. That work is underway and the conclusions from that sampling is expected to be available in by May 2017.

2. **Provide the location of all sources of potable water on campus.**

The University objects on the ground that this request is vague and overbroad. Without waiving these objections, the University provides the following response: potable water is that which is fit for consumption per the California Code of Regulations, Title 8 section 3363 Water in a laboratory or restroom is not a drinking water source because of the possibility of fixture contamination. Attached is our current list of all drinking water sources. This list does not include Housing or UEI buildings which we are in the process of compiling.
3. **Names of faculty likely to have come into contact with contaminated water (i.e., faculty with teaching or office hours in buildings with high amounts of lead).**
   The University objects on the ground that this request is vague, overbroad, and speculative. Please contact me if you wish to clarify the records sought.

4. **Locations of water where water has not been tested.**
   The University objects on the ground that this request is vague and overbroad. Without waiving these objections, the University provides the following response: In the attached spreadsheet, locations “not tested” are those with no entries in Column I. The University expects sampling results conducted by the Certified Industrial Hygiene consultant for all drinking water sources, in May 2017.

5. **Copies of plans and timeline for remediation of the lead in water.**
   The University objects on the ground that this request is vague and overbroad. Without waiving these objections, the University provides the following response: remediation plans will be based on the outcome of test results.

6. **Copies of any reports provided to the University by outsiders indicating a presence of lead in the water.**
   The University objects on the ground that this request is vague and overbroad. Without waiving these objections, the University provides the following response: The work by Dr. Foran and the pending results by the University-hired consultant is the entire body of sampling data available.

Should you have any additional questions, clarifications, or concerns, please feel free to contact me at (916) 278-7414 or via email at jake.hurley@csus.edu.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jake M. Hurley
Associate Vice President, Human Resources
California State University, Sacramento

Attachments:   City of Sacramento Consumer Quality Reports
               Dr. Foran’s Water Sampling Results